

Appl. No. 10/757,432
Arndt, Dated Jan. 15, 2004
Reply to Office action of Mar. 27, 2007

REMARKS— General

This amendment is in response to the office action by examiner Mr. James H. Zurita, mailed 3/27/2007 for Application No. 10/757,432 originally filed on 1/15/2007, and having confirmation no. 4097.

It has been noted in the DETAILED ACTION section of the office response that there are two inventions, Invention I (corresponding to claims 1-25) and Invention II (corresponding to claims 26-27). It is further noted that the examiner recommends that the Applicant select one and only one of these inventions for this patent application.

Applicants respectfully select Invention I corresponding to claims 1-25 for this patent application. As such, Applicants request that claims 26 and 27 be cancelled. Applicants plan to file a separate divisional patent application for Invention II corresponding to claims 26 and 27.

Claim 26 has been cancelled for the following reasons:

This is an independent claim for a separate invention (Invention II) as per the reasons outlined in the office action response.

Claim 27 has been cancelled for the following reasons:

This claim is dependent on claim 26 and is for the same separate invention (Invention II) as per the reasons outlined in the office action response.

Applicants respectfully request that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Respectfully submitted,

By _____
Glenn R Seidman
Dr. Glenn R Seidman
Tel. (650) 678-7147